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#### Abstract

We report results from new and archival observations of the newly discovered active asteroid (248370) 2005 $\mathrm{QN}_{173}$ (also now designated Comet 433P), which has been determined to be a likely main-belt comet based on a subsequent discovery that it is recurrently active near perihelion. From archival data analysis, we estimate $g^{\prime}-, r^{\prime}-$, $i^{\prime}$-, and $z^{\prime}$-band absolute magnitudes for the nucleus of $H_{g}=16.62 \pm 0.13, H_{r}=16.12 \pm 0.10, H_{i}=16.05 \pm 0.11$, and $H_{z}=15.93 \pm 0.08$, corresponding to nucleus colors of $g^{\prime}-r^{\prime}=0.50 \pm 0.16, r^{\prime}-i^{\prime}=0.07 \pm 0.15$, and $i^{\prime}-z^{\prime}=0.12 \pm 0.14$; an equivalent $V$-band absolute magnitude of $H_{V}=16.32 \pm 0.08$; and a nucleus radius of $r_{n}=1.6 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~km}$ (using a $V$-band albedo of $p_{V}=0.054 \pm 0.012$ ). Meanwhile, we find mean near-nucleus coma colors when 248370 is active of $g^{\prime}-r^{\prime}=0.47 \pm 0.03, r^{\prime}-i^{\prime}=0.10 \pm 0.04$, and $i^{\prime}-z^{\prime}=0.05 \pm 0.05$ and similar mean dust tail colors, suggesting that no significant gas coma is present. We find approximate ratios between the scattering cross sections of near-nucleus dust (within 5000 km of the nucleus) and the nucleus of $A_{d} / A_{n}=0.7 \pm 0.3$ on 2016 July 22 and $1.8<A_{d} / A_{n}<2.9$ in 2021 July and August. During the 2021 observation period, the coma declined in intrinsic brightness by $\sim 0.35 \mathrm{mag}$ (or $\sim 25 \%$ ) in 37 days, while the surface brightness of the dust tail remained effectively constant over the same period. Constraints derived from the sunward extent of the coma and width of the tail as measured perpendicular to the orbit plane suggest that the terminal velocities of ejected dust grains are extremely slow ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particles), suggesting that the observed dust emission may be aided by rapid rotation of the nucleus lowering the effective escape velocity.


Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Main-belt comets (2131); Comets (280); Comae (2015); Comet nuclei (2160); Comet tails (274); Main belt asteroids (2036); Asteroids (72); Small Solar System bodies (1469)

## 1. Introduction

Asteroid (248370) $2005 \mathrm{QN}_{173}$ (hereafter 248370; also recently designated Comet 433 P ) was discovered to be active on UT 2021 July 7 in data comprising 120 s of total exposure time (Figure 1(e)) obtained by the Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS; Tonry et al. 2018a) survey telescope (Fitzsimmons et al. 2021). On that date, the object was at a heliocentric distance of $r_{h}=2.391$ au and true anomaly of $\nu=16^{\circ} .0$, having most recently reached perihelion on UT 2021 May 14. As reported in the discovery announcement, 248370 exhibited a thin, straight dust tail $7!6$ in length at a position angle of $245^{\circ}$ east of north in confirmation observations obtained by Lowell Observatory's 4.3 m Lowell Discovery Telescope (LDT). Zwicky Transient Facility
observations show the presence of the tail as early as UT 2021 June 11 (Kelley et al. 2021).

As of 2021 August 1, 248370 had a semimajor axis of $a=3.067 \mathrm{au}$, eccentricity of $e=0.226$, and inclination of $i=0^{\circ} .067$, according to the JPL Small-Body Database, ${ }^{21}$ placing it unambiguously in the outer main asteroid belt. Its active nature and asteroidal orbit places it among the class of objects known as active asteroids, which exhibit comet-like mass loss yet have dynamically asteroidal orbits (Jewitt et al. 2015). Active asteroids include main-belt comets (MBCs; Hsieh \& Jewitt 2006), for which sublimation of volatile ice is the most likely activity driver, and disrupted asteroids, for

[^0]

Figure 1. Single or composite images of 248370 for the dates indicated in each panel (see Tables 1 and 2 for observation details). All images are in the $r^{\prime}$ band except for panel (e), which was obtained using the ATLAS survey's "cyan" filter (bandpass from 420 to 650 nm ). Scale bars indicate the size of each panel. North (N), east (E), the antisolar direction $(-\odot)$, and the negative heliocentric velocity direction $(-v)$ are indicated in each panel. The object is located at the center of panels (a)-(e), while in panels (f)-(h), the object's nucleus is located in the upper left corner with the tail extending down and to the right, where the latter set of images have been Gaussian smoothed to enhance the visibility of low surface brightness features.
which activity is due to other processes, such as impacts or rotational destabilization (e.g., Hsieh et al. 2012).

Asteroid 248370 has previously been measured to have a diameter of $3.6 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~km}$ and visible geometric albedo of $0.054 \pm 0.012$ using $H_{V}=16.00$ for the $v$-band absolute magnitude and $G=0.15$ (Mainzer et al. 2019). As of 2021 July, there were no published rotational light-curve data available for the object in the Asteroid Lightcurve Photometry Database $^{22}$ or the NASA Planetary Data System ${ }^{23}$ (PDS).

[^1]Similarly, no taxonomic classification for 248370 is available in current PDS catalogs.

Following the discovery of 248370 's activity in 2021, Chandler et al. (2021a) reported the discovery of activity in archival data from the Dark Energy Camera (DECam; Flaugher et al. 2015) on the 4 m Victor M. Blanco Telescope (hereafter Blanco) at Cerro Tololo Interamerican Observatory (CTIO) obtained on UT 2016 July 22, when the object was at a true anomaly of $\nu=56^{\circ} .5$, having then most recently passed perihelion on UT 2016 January 3. This discovery of two separate active apparitions of 248370 , both near perihelion, is considered a strong indication that sublimation is responsible
for the observed activity (e.g., Hsieh et al. 2012; Chandler et al., 2021b).

## 2. Observations

New observations of 248370 were obtained on several nights between UT 2021 July 8 and UT 2021 August 14 with LDT (Levine et al. 2012), Palomar Observatory's 5 m Hale Telescope (hereafter Palomar), the 2 m Faulkes Telescope North (FTN), and the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCOGT) 1 m telescopes (Brown et al. 2013) at CTIO and the South African Astronomical Observatory. Details of these observations are shown in Table 1, where, for reference, ATLAS discovery observation details are also shown, although no further analysis is conducted of those observations due to the use of a nonstandardized filter. Observations were obtained using the LDT's Large Monolithic Imager (Bida et al. 2014), Palomar's Wafer-Scale camera for Prime (Nikzad et al. 2017) wide-field prime focus camera, FTN's Multicolor Simultaneous Camera for studying Atmospheres of Transiting exoplanets (MuSCAT3; Narita et al. 2020), and the LCOGT Sinistro cameras. All observations were obtained using Sloan $g^{\prime}-, r^{\prime}-, i^{\prime}$, or $z^{\prime}$-band filters and nonsidereal tracking to follow the target's motion.

Multifilter FTN data were obtained using the simultaneous $g^{\prime}-, r^{\prime}-, i^{\prime}-$, and $z^{\prime}$-band imaging capability of MuSCAT3. Multifilter Palomar observations were obtained by interspersing filters (i.e., using repeating $r^{\prime} g^{\prime} r^{\prime} i^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ or $r^{\prime} i^{\prime} g^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ sequences) to enable the use of interpolation to approximate simultaneous multifilter imaging for color computation (i.e., compensating for possible rotational variability in the nucleus brightness between our actual observations in different filters).

Bias subtraction, flat-field correction, and cosmic-ray removal were performed for LDT and Palomar data using the Python 3 code utilizing the ccdproc package in Astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2018) and the L.A.Cosmic code ${ }^{24}$ (van Dokkum 2001; van Dokkum et al. 2012). The FTN and LCOGT 1 m data were processed using standard LCOGT pipeline software (McCully et al. 2018).

We also used the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre's Solar System Object Image Search tool ${ }^{25}$ (Gwyn et al. 2012) and the NASA PDS Small Bodies Node's Comet Asteroid Telescopic Catalog Hub tool ${ }^{26}$ to identify archival Sloan $g^{\prime}-, r^{\prime}-, i^{\prime}$, and $z^{\prime}$-band observations of 248370 from 2004 to 2020 (Table 2) from the 1.8 m Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS1; hereafter PS1) survey telescope (Chambers et al. 2016; Flewelling et al. 2020), MegaCam (Boulade et al. 2003) on the 3.6 m Canada-FranceHawaii Telescope (CFHT), the 1.35 m SkyMapper survey telescope (Wolf et al. 2018), and Blanco. For the purposes of our analysis, PS1 $g_{P 1}, r_{P 1}, i_{P 1}$, and $z_{P 1}$ filters are considered functionally equivalent to their Sloan counterparts (see Tonry et al. 2012). All archival data were pipeline processed by their respective facilities.

The object was identified in archival images either from its nonsidereal motion when more than one image was available on a particular night or from comparison with reference images

[^2]obtained on other nights when the object was not in the field of view.

## 3. Results and Analysis

### 3.1. Data Analysis

Except for data from 2016 July 22, 248370 had a starlike surface brightness profile in all archival images and exhibited no other visible indications of activity. Meanwhile, in all 2021 observations, the object exhibited a long, straight dust tail oriented along the coincident antisolar and negative heliocentric velocity vector directions as projected on the sky. In our best composite image from UT 2021 July 12, the tail was seen extending $\sim 9^{\prime}$ from the nucleus (Figure $1(\mathrm{f})$ ), corresponding to a physical extent of $\sim 720,000 \mathrm{~km}$ at the geocentric distance of the comet. A minimal coma was present in all images, with FWHM measurements of the nucleus's surface brightness profile measured in the direction perpendicular to the dust tail nearly identical to FWHM measurements, $\theta_{s}$, of field star profiles (listed in Table 1) measured in the direction perpendicular to their trailing due to nonsidereal tracking. We did, however, find the half-width at half-maximum (HWHM) of the object's profile measured along the sunward direction directly opposite the dust tail to be $\sim 10 \%$ larger than stellar HWHM values, suggesting the presence of a dust coma, which will be discussed in Section 3.3.3.

To maximize signal-to-noise ratios ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{Ns}$ ) for sets of observations where more than one image was obtained in the same filter in a night, we constructed composite images by shifting and aligning individual images in each filter on the object's photocenter using linear interpolation and adding them together. Representative single or composite images are shown in Figure 1.

For the photometry of all data, measurements of 248370 and 10-30 nearby reference stars were performed using IRAF software (Tody 1986, 1993), with absolute calibration performed using field star magnitudes in Sloan bandpasses derived from the RefCat2 all-sky catalog (which uses the PS1 photometric system; Tonry et al. 2018b). Nucleus or nearnucleus coma photometry of 248370 was performed using circular apertures with sizes chosen using curve-of-growth analyses when the object appeared inactive or circular apertures with fixed radii equivalent to 5000 km at the geocentric distance of the object when it was active. For data in which the object was active, photometry aperture radii, $\theta_{\text {obs }}$, were determined from convolving the projected angular equivalent, $\theta_{0}$, of the desired intrinsic distance (i.e., 5000 km ) at the geocentric distance of the comet with the FWHM seeing, $\theta_{s}$, on a given night using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{obs}}=\left(\theta_{0}^{2}+\theta_{s}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{\text {obs }} \sim 4^{\prime \prime}$ for most of our observations. Background statistics for comet photometry were measured in nearby regions of blank sky to avoid dust contamination from the object or nearby field stars.

We also measured the surface brightnesses of 248370's dust tail on each night by rotating composite images to make the dust tail horizontal in each image frame, measuring net fluxes in rectangular apertures placed along the length of each tail, and converting those fluxes to surface brightnesses in mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ using the measured mean magnitudes of the nucleus for data comprising each composite image for absolute

Table 1
248370 Activity Observations

| UT Date | Telescope | $t^{\text {a }}$ | Filter | $\theta_{s}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\nu^{\text {c }}$ | $r_{h}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\Delta^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\alpha^{\text {f }}$ | $m\left(r_{h}, \Delta, \alpha\right)^{\text {g }}$ | $m(1,1,0)^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $A_{d} / A_{n}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $A f \rho^{\text {j }}$ | $\Sigma_{t}^{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 Jul 7 | ATLAS | 120 | Cyan | 5.2 | 16.0 | 2.391 | 1.930 | 24.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| 2021 Jul 9 | Palomar | 800 | $g^{\prime}$ | 2.1 | 16.6 | 2.392 | 1.909 | 24.2 | $19.57 \pm 0.01$ | $15.14 \pm 0.01$ | $2.9 \pm 0.5$ | $15.1 \pm 0.7$ | $24.24 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 9 | FTN | 480 | $g^{\prime}$ | 1.5 | 16.6 | 2.392 | 1.909 | 24.2 | $19.71 \pm 0.01$ | $15.28 \pm 0.01$ | $2.4 \pm 0.5$ | $13.6 \pm 0.8$ | $23.87 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 12 | Palomar | 300 | $g^{\prime}$ | 1.4 | 17.5 | 2.394 | 1.877 | 23.8 | $19.52 \pm 0.02$ | $15.14 \pm 0.02$ | $2.9 \pm 0.5$ | $16.4 \pm 0.8$ | $23.66 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 13 | FTN | 480 | $g^{\prime}$ | 2.4 | 17.8 | 2.395 | 1.867 | 23.7 | $19.52 \pm 0.02$ | $15.15 \pm 0.02$ | $2.9 \pm 0.5$ | $14.5 \pm 0.8$ | $24.01 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 15 | FTN | 480 | $g^{\prime}$ | 1.5 | 18.5 | 2.396 | 1.842 | 23.4 | $19.55 \pm 0.02$ | $15.22 \pm 0.02$ | $2.6 \pm 0.5$ | $14.6 \pm 0.8$ | $23.77 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 8 | LDT | 2400 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.8 | 16.3 | 2.391 | 1.920 | 24.3 | $19.14 \pm 0.01$ | $14.69 \pm 0.01$ | $2.7 \pm 0.4$ | $23.2 \pm 0.9$ | $23.45 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 9 | Palomar | 1300 | $r^{\prime}$ | 2.1 | 16.6 | 2.392 | 1.909 | 24.2 | $19.11 \pm 0.01$ | $14.68 \pm 0.01$ | $2.8 \pm 0.4$ | $22.8 \pm 0.8$ | $23.68 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 9 | FTN | 480 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.4 | 16.6 | 2.392 | 1.909 | 24.2 | $19.19 \pm 0.01$ | $14.76 \pm 0.01$ | $2.5 \pm 0.4$ | $22.2 \pm 1.0$ | $23.34 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 10 | LCOGT 1 m | 480 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.8 | 16.9 | 2.393 | 1.898 | 24.1 | $19.17 \pm 0.02$ | $14.76 \pm 0.02$ | $2.5 \pm 0.4$ | $21.4 \pm 1.0$ | $23.42 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 12 | Palomar | 900 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.2 | 17.5 | 2.394 | 1.877 | 23.8 | $19.12 \pm 0.01$ | $14.74 \pm 0.01$ | $2.6 \pm 0.4$ | $23.2 \pm 0.9$ | $23.17 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 13 | FTN | 480 | $r^{\prime}$ | 2.2 | 17.8 | 2.395 | 1.867 | 23.7 | $19.05 \pm 0.01$ | $14.68 \pm 0.01$ | $2.8 \pm 0.4$ | $22.6 \pm 0.9$ | $23.55 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 14 | LCOGT 1 m | 1130 | $r^{\prime}$ | 2.1 | 18.1 | 2.395 | 1.856 | 23.6 | $19.11 \pm 0.02$ | $14.76 \pm 0.02$ | $2.5 \pm 0.4$ | $20.8 \pm 1.0$ | $23.66 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 15 | LCOGT 1 m | 1130 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.7 | 18.5 | 2.396 | 1.842 | 23.4 | $19.05 \pm 0.01$ | $14.72 \pm 0.01$ | $2.6 \pm 0.4$ | $22.8 \pm 0.9$ | $23.41 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 15 | FTN | 480 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.4 | 18.5 | 2.396 | 1.842 | 23.4 | $19.13 \pm 0.01$ | $14.80 \pm 0.01$ | $2.4 \pm 0.3$ | $21.2 \pm 1.0$ | $23.26 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 18 | LCOGT 1 m | 283 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.7 | 19.3 | 2.398 | 1.815 | 23.0 | $19.03 \pm 0.06$ | $14.75 \pm 0.06$ | $2.5 \pm 0.4$ | $22.1 \pm 1.9$ | $23.40 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 19 | LCOGT 1 m | 1130 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.9 | 19.5 | 2.399 | 1.805 | 22.9 | $19.06 \pm 0.02$ | $14.78 \pm 0.02$ | $2.4 \pm 0.4$ | $20.7 \pm 1.0$ | $23.45 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 21 | LCOGT 1 m | 1130 | $r^{\prime}$ | 2.2 | 20.1 | 2.401 | 1.785 | 22.5 | $19.07 \pm 0.03$ | $14.83 \pm 0.03$ | $2.3 \pm 0.3$ | $18.8 \pm 1.1$ | $23.75 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 23 | LCOGT 1 m | 1853 | $r^{\prime}$ | 1.9 | 20.8 | 2.402 | 1.762 | 22.1 | $19.05 \pm 0.03$ | $14.85 \pm 0.03$ | $2.2 \pm 0.3$ | $19.0 \pm 1.1$ | $23.52 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Aug 5 | LCOGT 1 m | 600 | $r^{\prime}$ | 2.1 | 24.5 | 2.414 | 1.648 | 19.2 | $18.86 \pm 0.02$ | $14.89 \pm 0.02$ | $2.1 \pm 0.3$ | $17.9 \pm 1.0$ | $23.55 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Aug 7 | LCOGT 1 m | 600 | $r^{\prime}$ | 2.0 | 25.1 | 2.415 | 1.632 | 18.7 | $18.80 \pm 0.01$ | $14.87 \pm 0.01$ | $2.2 \pm 0.3$ | $18.7 \pm 0.9$ | $23.50 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Aug 14 | LCOGT 1 m | 600 | $r^{\prime}$ | 2.0 | 27.2 | 2.423 | 1.577 | 16.4 | $18.81 \pm 0.01$ | $15.02 \pm 0.02$ | $1.8 \pm 0.3$ | $15.3 \pm 1.0$ | $23.45 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 9 | Palomar | 900 | $i^{\prime}$ | 2.1 | 16.6 | 2.392 | 1.909 | 24.2 | $18.98 \pm 0.01$ | $14.55 \pm 0.01$ | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | $25.7 \pm 0.5$ | $23.60 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 9 | FTN | 480 | $i^{\prime}$ | 1.3 | 16.6 | 2.392 | 1.909 | 24.2 | $19.16 \pm 0.02$ | $14.73 \pm 0.02$ | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ | $22.2 \pm 0.9$ | $23.07 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 12 | Palomar | 600 | $i^{\prime}$ | 1.2 | 17.5 | 2.394 | 1.877 | 23.8 | $19.03 \pm 0.01$ | $14.65 \pm 0.01$ | $2.4 \pm 0.2$ | $24.8 \pm 0.6$ | $22.94 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 13 | FTN | 480 | $i^{\prime}$ | 2.2 | 17.8 | 2.395 | 1.867 | 23.7 | $18.92 \pm 0.02$ | $14.55 \pm 0.02$ | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | $25.5 \pm 0.9$ | $23.40 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2021 Jul 15 | FTN | 480 | $i^{\prime}$ | 1.4 | 18.5 | 2.396 | 1.842 | 23.4 | $18.95 \pm 0.02$ | $14.62 \pm 0.02$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ | $25.5 \pm 0.9$ | $23.07 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2016 Jul 22 | Blanco | 89 | $z^{\prime}$ | 1.1 | 56.5 | 2.591 | 2.571 | 22.7 | $20.51 \pm 0.10$ | $15.31 \pm 0.10$ | $0.7 \pm 0.3$ | $7.5 \pm 2.4$ | $25.30 \pm 0.50$ |
| 2021 Jul 9 | FTN | 480 | $z^{\prime}$ | 1.2 | 16.6 | 2.392 | 1.909 | 24.2 | $19.05 \pm 0.04$ | $14.62 \pm 0.04$ | $2.2 \pm 0.5$ | $24.5 \pm 2.1$ | $23.08 \pm 0.30$ |
| 2021 Jul 13 | FTN | 480 | $z^{\prime}$ | 2.1 | 17.8 | 2.395 | 1.867 | 23.7 | $18.84 \pm 0.03$ | $14.48 \pm 0.03$ | $2.6 \pm 0.5$ | $27.2 \pm 1.9$ | $23.40 \pm 0.30$ |
| 2021 Jul 15 | FTN | 480 | $z^{\prime}$ | 1.3 | 18.5 | 2.396 | 1.842 | 23.4 | $18.92 \pm 0.03$ | $14.59 \pm 0.03$ | $2.2 \pm 0.5$ | $25.5 \pm 1.9$ | $23.06 \pm 0.30$ |

Notes.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Total integration time in seconds.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ FWHM seeing in arcseconds.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ True anomaly in degrees.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Heliocentric distance in au
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Geocentric distance in au.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Solar phase angle (Sun-object-Earth) in degrees.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Mean apparent coma magnitude (measured using 5000 km radius photometry apertures).
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Computed absolute magnitude corresponding to measured apparent magnitude assuming an $H, G$ phase function, where $G=0.15$.
 not potential nucleus rotational variability.
${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ The $A\left(\alpha=0^{\circ}\right) f \rho$ values, computed using Equation (4) and 5000 km photometry apertures, in centimeters.
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ Dust tail surface brightness in mag arcsec ${ }^{-2}$, as measured in a $1500 \mathrm{~km} \times 10,000 \mathrm{~km}$ rectangular aperture, as described in the text.

Table 2
248370 Nucleus Observations

| UT Date | Tel. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $N^{\text {b }}$ | $t^{\text {c }}$ | Filter | $\nu^{\text {d }}$ | $r_{h}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\Delta^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\alpha^{\text {g }}$ | $m\left(r_{h}, \Delta, \alpha\right)^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $m(1,1,0)^{\text {i }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 Aug 6 | PS1 | 1 | 43 | $g^{\prime}$ | 354.7 | 2.389 | 1.377 | 2.0 | $19.17 \pm 0.03$ | $16.35 \pm 0.03$ |
| 2010 Sep 6 | PS1 | 2 | 86 | $g^{\prime}$ | 3.8 | 2.388 | 1.463 | 12.2 | $20.08 \pm 0.05$ | $16.63 \pm 0.05$ |
| 2011 Nov 24 | PS1 | 2 | 86 | $g^{\prime}$ | 109.4 | 3.150 | 2.180 | 4.1 | $21.20 \pm 0.13$ | $16.64 \pm 0.13$ |
| 2011 Dec 1 | PS1 | 2 | 86 | $g^{\prime}$ | 110.6 | 3.164 | 2.180 | 1.4 | $20.98 \pm 0.10$ | $16.60 \pm 0.10$ |
| Median ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $g^{\prime}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $16.62 \pm 0.13$ |
| 2010 Aug 5 | PS1 | 1 | 40 | $r^{\prime}$ | 354.4 | 2.389 | 1.378 | 2.5 | $18.81 \pm 0.03$ | $15.95 \pm 0.03$ |
| 2011 Nov 24 | PS1 | 2 | 80 | $r^{\prime}$ | 109.4 | 3.150 | 2.180 | 4.0 | $20.74 \pm 0.10$ | $16.19 \pm 0.10$ |
| 2018 Dec 15 | Blanco | 1 | 45 | $r^{\prime}$ | 191.7 | 3.733 | 3.508 | 15.2 | $22.63 \pm 0.31$ | $16.20 \pm 0.31$ |
| 2020 Feb 4 | Blanco | 2 | 81 | $r^{\prime}$ | 248.9 | 3.165 | 3.059 | 18.1 | $21.91 \pm 0.11$ | $16.04 \pm 0.11$ |
| Median ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | ... | ... | ... | $r^{\prime}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $16.12 \pm 0.10$ |
| 2004 Jul 8 | CFHT | 3 | 540 | $i^{\prime}$ | 287.5 | 2.740 | 2.028 | 17.7 | $20.72 \pm 0.03$ | $16.07 \pm 0.03$ |
| 2010 Aug 2 | PS1 | 1 | 45 | $i^{\prime}$ | 353.5 | 2.390 | 1.383 | 3.9 | $19.01 \pm 0.05$ | $16.05 \pm 0.05$ |
| 2010 Aug 31 | PS1 | 2 | 90 | $i^{\prime}$ | 2.1 | 2.388 | 1.429 | 9.8 | $19.23 \pm 0.04$ | $15.93 \pm 0.04$ |
| 2011 Nov 30 | PS1 | 2 | 90 | $i^{\prime}$ | 110.4 | 3.162 | 2.179 | 1.9 | $20.26 \pm 0.09$ | $15.84 \pm 0.09$ |
| $2015 \text { Aug } 18$ | SkyMapper | 1 | 100 | $i^{\prime}$ | 320.3 | 2.483 | 1.888 | 21.8 | $20.72 \pm 0.26$ | $16.31 \pm 0.26$ |
| Median ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ | , | ... | ... | $i^{\prime}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $16.05 \pm 0.11$ |
| 2010 Jun 14 | PS1 | 2 | 60 | $z^{\prime}$ | 339.3 | 2.416 | 1.728 | 21.1 | $20.07 \pm 0.13$ | $15.93 \pm 0.13$ |
| 2010 Oct 30 | PS1 | 2 | 60 | $z^{\prime}$ | 19.6 | 2.413 | 2.018 | 23.8 | $20.48 \pm 0.23$ | $15.93 \pm 0.13$ |
| 2020 Feb 10 | Blanco | 1 | 199 | $z^{\prime}$ | 250.0 | 3.152 | 2.960 | 18.2 | $21.59 \pm 0.14$ | $15.80 \pm 0.14$ |
| Median ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | . | $\cdots$ | ... | $z^{\prime}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $15.93 \pm 0.08$ |

## Notes.

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Telescope used.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of exposures.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Total integration time in seconds.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ True anomaly in degrees.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Heliocentric distance in au.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Geocentric distance in au.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Solar phase angle (Sun-object-Earth) in degrees.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Mean apparent magnitude in specified filter.
${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ Computed absolute magnitude corresponding to measured apparent magnitude assuming IAU $H, G$ phase function behavior, where $G=0.15$.
${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ Median values of computed absolute magnitudes, where standard deviations are used as uncertainties.

Table 3
248370 Colors

| UT Date | Telescope | Coma |  |  | Tail |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $g^{\prime}-r^{\prime}$ | $r^{\prime}-i^{\prime}$ | $i^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$ | $g^{\prime}-r^{\prime}$ | $r^{\prime}-i^{\prime}$ | $i^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$ |
| 2021 Jul 9 | Palomar | $0.47 \pm 0.01$ | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ | $\cdots$ | $0.56 \pm 0.30$ | $0.08 \pm 0.30$ |  |
| 2021 Jul 9 | FTN | $0.52 \pm 0.02$ | $0.03 \pm 0.03$ | $0.14 \pm 0.04$ | $0.53 \pm 0.30$ | $0.27 \pm 0.30$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.40$ |
| 2021 Jul 12 | Palomar | $0.42 \pm 0.02$ | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $\cdots$ | $0.49 \pm 0.30$ | $0.23 \pm 0.30$ | $\ldots$ |
| 2021 Jul 13 | FTN | $0.47 \pm 0.02$ | $0.13 \pm 0.03$ | $0.05 \pm 0.04$ | $0.45 \pm 0.30$ | $0.16 \pm 0.30$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.40$ |
| 2021 Jul 15 | FTN | $0.50 \pm 0.02$ | $0.10 \pm 0.03$ | $0.03 \pm 0.04$ | $0.51 \pm 0.30$ | $0.19 \pm 0.30$ | $0.01 \pm 0.40$ |
| Median ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ... | $0.47 \pm 0.03$ | $0.10 \pm 0.04$ | $0.05 \pm 0.05$ | $0.51 \pm 0.04$ | $0.19 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.01$ |

## Note.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Median values of computed colors, where standard deviations are used as uncertainties.
photometric calibration. We chose rectangular apertures that extended 750 km above and below the tail's central axis in the vertical direction (i.e., $\sim 2^{\prime \prime}$ in total height for most of our observations) and from 5000 to $15,000 \mathrm{~km}$ (i.e., from $\sim 4^{\prime \prime}$ to $\sim 12^{\prime \prime}$ for most of our observations) from the nucleus in the horizontal direction, where the angular sizes of these apertures were computed in the same manner described earlier for nearnucleus photometry apertures. The details of this method of measuring surface brightnesses were chosen to maximize $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ while also minimizing the nucleus flux contribution by focusing on the bright central core of the tail and measuring close, but not too close, to the nucleus where the tail is brightest.

Photometric results for data obtained when 248370 appeared active and inactive are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Colors computed by comparing coma magnitudes or tail surface brightnesses in different filters for nights on which multifilter data were obtained are shown in Table 3.

### 3.2. Nucleus Properties

Using measured apparent magnitudes of 248370 from archival data, we derive magnitudes normalized to $r_{h}=\Delta=1$ au and $\alpha=0^{\circ}$, or $m(1,1,0)$, by assuming inverse-square-law fading and IAU $H, G$ phase function behavior (Bowell et al. 1989), where
$G=0.15$ (Table 2). We then take the medians of these computed $m(1,1,0)$ values to estimate absolute magnitudes in each filter. We estimate 248370's absolute magnitudes to be $H_{g}=$ $16.62 \pm 0.13, H_{r}=16.12 \pm 0.10, H_{i}=16.05 \pm 0.11$, and $H_{z}=$ $15.93 \pm 0.08$ (Table 2), corresponding to nucleus colors of $g^{\prime}-r^{\prime}=0.50 \pm 0.16, \quad r^{\prime}-i^{\prime}=0.07 \pm 0.15, \quad$ and $\quad i^{\prime}-$ $z^{\prime}=0.12 \pm 0.14$, which, within the uncertainties, are effectively solar (e.g., Holmberg et al. 2006). These colors are consistent with a C-type taxonomic classification (see DeMeo \& Carry 2013), which is the most likely classification expected for an outer main-belt asteroid like 248370, but large uncertainties on the colors derived here from sparse archival data mean that other taxonomic types cannot necessarily be excluded at this time. Using $V=g^{\prime}-0.565\left(g^{\prime}-r^{\prime}\right)-0.016$ (Jordi et al. 2006), we find an equivalent $V$-band absolute magnitude of $H_{V}=16.32 \pm 0.10$.

Using

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}=\left(\frac{2.24 \times 10^{22}}{p_{V}} \times 10^{0.4\left(m_{\odot, V}-H_{V}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use $p_{V}=0.054 \pm 0.012$ (Mainzer et al. 2019) for the object's $V$-band albedo and $m_{\odot, V}=-26.71 \pm 0.03$ for the apparent $V$-band magnitude of the Sun (Hardorp 1980), we find an effective nucleus radius of $r_{n}=1.6 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~km}$, or slightly smaller than the radius computed by Mainzer et al. (2019).

The ranges in computed absolute magnitudes in each filter are $\Delta m_{g}=0.29, \Delta m_{r}=0.25, \Delta m_{i}=0.23$, and $\Delta m_{z}=0.13$. These values are not particularly meaningful given the small number of data points used to derive them, but in the present absence of better measurements, they suggest that 248370 's photometric range due to rotation is $\Delta m \gtrsim 0.3 \mathrm{mag}$, implying a minimum axis ratio of $a / b=1.3$.

### 3.3. Activity Properties

### 3.3.1. Dust Composition

We find mean coma colors of $g^{\prime}-r^{\prime}=0.47 \pm 0.03$, $r^{\prime}-i^{\prime}=0.10 \pm 0.04$, and $i^{\prime}-z^{\prime}=0.05 \pm 0.05$ and mean dust tail colors of $g^{\prime}-r^{\prime}=0.51 \pm 0.04, r^{\prime}-i^{\prime}=0.19 \pm$ 0.07 , and $i^{\prime}-z^{\prime}=-0.01 \pm 0.01$ (Table 3). Within the uncertainties, the coma and dust tail colors are comparable to one another, indicating that both are dominated by dust of similar composition with no apparent color gradient with distance from the nucleus that might indicate the presence of a significant near-nucleus gas coma. The apparent compositional similarity of coma and tail dust also means that we see no evidence of grain fragmentation or loss of icy grains to sublimation that could cause overall color changes to the observed dust. The colors of both are also similar within the uncertainties to the colors found for the bare nucleus (Section 3.2), suggesting that the dust coma and tail are compositionally similar to the nucleus's surface regolith.

### 3.3.2. Activity Strength and Evolution

From our calculations of 248370's absolute magnitudes (Section 3.2), we find that the near-nucleus region of the object was $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{mag}$ brighter than expected for the inactive nucleus on 2016 July 22 and $\sim 1$ mag brighter than expected in 2021 (Table 1). We also compute the ratios, $A_{d} / A_{n}$, of the scattering cross sections of ejected near-nucleus dust within our 5000 km photometry apertures and the underlying nucleus when 248370
was active using

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{d} / A_{n}=\frac{1-10^{0.4(m(1,1,0)-H)}}{10^{0.4(m(1,1,0)-H)}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e.g., Hsieh et al. 2021). We find $A_{d} / A_{n}=0.7 \pm 0.3$ on 2016 July 22 and $1.8<A_{d} / A_{n}<2.9$ in 2021 (Table 1).
Plotting $m(1,1,0)$ and $A_{d} / A_{n}$ as functions of time, we see that the coma faded during our 2021 observations (Figures 2(a) and (b)), declining in intrinsic brightness by $\sim 0.35 \mathrm{mag}$ (or $\sim 25 \%$ ) in 37 days. Increasing activity strength would suggest ongoing dust production and therefore the action of a prolonged, possibly sublimation-driven, emission event. However, declining activity strength does not necessarily rule out a sublimation-driven emission event, especially at the relatively gradual rate ( $\sim 0.01 \mathrm{mag}_{\mathrm{day}}{ }^{-1}$ ) seen for 248370 , similar to the rate of fading of the coma of confirmed recurrently active MBC $259 \mathrm{P} /$ Garradd (Hsieh et al. 2021) of $\sim 0.015 \mathrm{mag}$ day $^{-1}$ observed after its discovery in 2008 (Jewitt et al. 2009).

Despite the fading of 248370's coma, the dust tail remained relatively consistent in brightness during our observations (Table 1; Figure 2(c)), suggesting that the tail may consist of larger particles, on average, than the coma. Larger particles in the tail would be dissipated by radiation pressure more slowly than presumably smaller particles in the coma, which would explain the slower fading of the tail to apparently weakening dust production from the nucleus.
For reference, we also compute $A\left(\alpha=0^{\circ}\right) f \rho$ values (hereafter $A f \rho$ ), which are nominally independent of photometry aperture sizes for observations of comae with $r^{-1}$ radial profiles and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\alpha=0^{\circ}\right) f \rho=\frac{\left(2 r_{h} \Delta\right)^{2}}{\rho} 10^{0.4\left[m_{\odot}-m_{d}\left(r_{h}, \Delta, 0\right)\right]} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A'Hearn et al. 1984), where $r_{h}$ is in au, $\Delta$ is in centimeters, $\rho$ is the physical radius in centimeters of the photometry aperture at the geocentric distance of the comet, $m_{\odot}$ is the Sun's apparent magnitude in the specified filter (using $m_{g, \odot}=$ $-26.60, m_{r, \odot}=-27.05, m_{i, \odot}=-27.17$, and $m_{z, \odot}=-27.21$; Hardorp 1980; Holmberg et al. 2006; Jordi et al. 2006), and $m_{d}\left(r_{h}, \Delta, 0\right)$ is the phase angle-normalized (to $\alpha=0^{\circ}$ ) magnitude of the excess dust mass of the comet (i.e., with the flux contribution of the nucleus subtracted out). These results are tabulated in Table 1, where we see fading behavior similar to that seen for $m(1,1,0)$ and $A_{d} / A n$.

### 3.3.3. Dust Ejection Parameter Constraints

Order-of-magnitude constraints on 248370's dust ejection velocities can be obtained by analyzing the sunward extent of the object's coma, as well as the width of its dust tail. On UT 2021 July 12, we measure an HWHM value for the sunward portion of 248370 's coma of $\theta_{\text {obs }} / 2=0!$ ! 68 , while nearby field stars had HWHM values of $\theta_{s} / 2=0!\prime 61$. Using an analogous form of Equation (1) to compute an intrinsic half-width of the coma, $\theta_{0} / 2$, in the absence of atmospheric seeing, we find $\theta_{0} / 2=0!!3$, or $\sim 400 \mathrm{~km}$ at the geocentric distance of the object. The distance scale on which dust grains ejected sunward with a terminal ejection velocity of $v_{g}$ are turned back by solar


Figure 2. Plots of (a) $r^{\prime}$-band near-nucleus magnitudes normalized to $r_{h}=\Delta=1$ au and $\alpha=0^{\circ}$, (b) inferred ratios of dust-scattering cross sections to nucleusscattering cross sections measured within 5000 km radius photometry apertures, and (c) surface brightnesses in mag arcsec ${ }^{-1}$ of a fixed portion of the dust tail computed for 248370 from UT 2021 July 8 to 2021 August 14 as a function of days after perihelion (UT 2021 May 14), where red lines in each panel show moving medians (computed for groups of three data points each) for each quantity.
radiation pressure is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{R} \sim \frac{v_{g}^{2}}{2 \beta_{d} g_{\odot}} \cdot\left(\frac{r_{h}}{1 \mathrm{au}}\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Jewitt \& Meech 1987), where $g_{\odot}=0.006 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ is the gravitational acceleration to the Sun at $1 \mathrm{au}, \beta_{d}$ is the ratio of the acceleration experienced by a particle due to solar radiation pressure to the local acceleration due to solar gravity (Burns et al. 1979), and $v_{g} \propto \beta_{d}^{1 / 2}$ for dust particles accelerated by outflowing gas, meaning that $X_{R}$ as computed in Equation (5) is nominally independent of $\beta_{d}$. Comet dust modeling analyses commonly use $\beta_{d}$ to represent particle sizes, where $a_{d} \approx \beta_{d}^{-1}$ gives the approximate corresponding dust particle radii, $a_{d}$, in microns. Using $X_{R}=400 \mathrm{~km}$, Equation (5) gives $v_{g} \sim 0.9 \beta_{d}^{1 / 2}$ $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, or about half the ejection velocities found for $133 \mathrm{P} /$ Elst-Pizarro (Jewitt et al. 2014), another MBC very similar in morphology to 248370 and one that has been previously identified to have low dust ejection velocities (e.g., Hsieh et al. 2004).

Meanwhile, measuring the tail in the composite image for UT 2021 July 12 in intervals of 60 pixels ( $10!!5$ ) along the tail, we find a median FWHM of $\theta_{\text {obs }}=1!6$ over the $90^{\prime \prime}$ of the tail closest to the nucleus, increasing from $\theta_{\text {obs }} \sim 1!5$ at $10^{\prime \prime}$ from the nucleus to $\theta_{\text {obs }} \sim 1.17$ at $90^{\prime \prime}$ from the nucleus. Low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ prevents reliable measurements of the tail's width beyond $\sim 90^{\prime \prime}$, but visually, the tail appears to maintain a similar narrow morphology along its entire visible length, broadening only very gradually with increasing distance from the nucleus. Using Equation (1), we find that $\theta_{\text {obs }}=1!6$ corresponds to an intrinsic median tail FWHM of $\theta_{0}=1!!$, or a physical width of
$w_{T} \sim 1400 \mathrm{~km}$ projected in the plane of the sky. At the time of observation, the orbit plane angle of 248370 with respect to Earth was just 0.03 , so our measured projected width can be considered close to the true width of the tail perpendicular to the object's orbit plane.

Following Jewitt et al. (2014), the component of the terminal dust ejection velocity perpendicular to the orbital plane, $V$, can be computed using

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=a_{d}^{-1 / 2} \cdot\left(\frac{g_{\odot}}{r_{h}[\mathrm{au}]^{2}} \cdot \frac{w_{T}^{2}}{8 \ell_{T}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell_{T}$ is the distance from the nucleus at which $w_{T}$ is measured, and $a_{d}$ is in microns. Using $w_{T} \sim 1400 \mathrm{~km}$ and a reference distance from the nucleus of $50^{\prime \prime}\left(\ell_{T} \sim 6.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}\right)$, we obtain $V=1.9 a_{d}^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, similar to the result derived for 133P by Jewitt et al. (2014). From these analyses of both the coma and tail of 248370 , we thus conclude that its activity is characterized by extremely small terminal dust ejection velocities on the order of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for micron-sized particles and $\sim 5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for millimeter-sized particles. Asteroid 248370 has an estimated escape velocity of $v_{\mathrm{esc}}=1.4 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, assuming it is a spherical body with a radius of $r_{n}=1.6 \mathrm{~km}$ and bulk density of $\rho_{n}=1400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ (i.e., consistent with C-type asteroids; Britt et al. 2002), which may set a limit on the size of the particles that are able to escape its gravity (where we note, however, that the terminal velocities calculated above apply to particles that have already escaped from the object's gravitational influence). That said, rapid rotation, nucleus elongation, or both could act to reduce or negate the effective gravity felt by dust particles at certain locations on the nucleus surface,
allowing even extremely large particles to be ejected, similar to what may be occurring on 133P (Jewitt et al. 2014).

Performing a simple (zero-ejection velocity) dust modeling analysis using the online Comet Toolbox, ${ }^{27}$ we find that particles with $\beta=1$ would take $\sim 20$ days to reach an apparent angular separation from the nucleus of $\sim 9^{\prime}$ (the visible length of the tail on UT 2021 July 12; Figure 1(f)). Meanwhile, particles with $\beta=0.1,0.01$, and 0.001 (or $a \sim 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and 1 mm ), which span the range of particle sizes found for other MBCs (e.g., Hsieh et al. 2009; Licandro et al. 2013; Jewitt et al. 2014), would take 60,150 , and 430 days, respectively, to reach the same apparent separation. Without additional particle size constraints at the present time, however, we cannot meaningfully constrain the likely ejection times of the most distant dust grains in 248370 's tail. We note that if activity began when 248370 was at $\nu=300^{\circ}$ (the earliest activation point confirmed to date for an MBC; Hsieh \& Sheppard 2015), which the object passed on 2020 October 22, particles as large as $a \sim 400 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\beta=0.0025)$ would have been able to reach a $9^{\prime}$ separation from the nucleus by 2021 July 12.

### 3.4. Future Work

The discovery that 248370 is recurrently active near perihelion strongly suggests that sublimation is a primary driver of its activity, although it does not rule out other processes that could also contribute to the current observed activity. In particular, we suggest in Section 3.3.3 that rapid rotation and nucleus elongation could potentially play a significant role in the dust ejection process, especially for larger particles. As such, measurement of 248370's rotational period and nucleus shape, as well as its taxonomic type, should be considered a high priority once its current activity ends. Continued monitoring of 248370 's current activity is also highly encouraged to enable further characterization of the object's fading behavior, which can help constrain the dust grain size distribution.

A detailed dynamical analysis of 248370 is outside the scope of this paper but should also be performed in the near future. Issues to consider include whether the object can be linked to any dynamical asteroid families (e.g., Hsieh et al. 2018), its long-term dynamical stability and whether it may be an implanted object (e.g., Hsieh \& Haghighipour 2016), and whether it follows the dynamical trends found for previously discovered MBCs (Kim et al. 2018).

In the long term, 248370 will be well placed for monitoring during the approach to its next perihelion passage on UT 2026 September 3. It becomes observable from the Southern Hemisphere in 2026 February at $\nu \sim 300^{\circ}$, i.e., the earliest activation point confirmed to date for an MBC, as discussed earlier. Monitoring during this time will be extremely valuable for further confirming the recurrent nature of 248370's activity, constraining the orbital range over which activity occurs (with implications for constraining ice depth on the object, as well as its active lifetime), measuring initial dust production rates, and comparing the object's activity levels from one orbit to another, as well as to other MBCs.
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